The breeding season of birds of prey and owls is usually so long that they rarely produce more than one clutch per year (i.e. Newton, 1979; Cramp & Simons, 1985; Donazar, 1993; Zuberogoitia et al., 2002). In fact, the onset of one breeding season occurs relatively soon after the end of the previous season (Newton, 1979; Marti, 1994; Taylor, 1994; Zuberogoitia, 2000; Zuberogoitia et al., 2002). Second broods have been rarely reported for owls (Kellomäki et al., 1977; Mikkola, 1983; Cramp & Simmons, 1985; Millsap & Bear, 1990; Martinez et al., 2003). Only Barn Owls Tyto alba produce regularly two or three clutches yearly (Marti, 1994; Taylor, 1994; Martínez & López, 1999; Zuberogoitia, 2000). Nevertheless, replacement clutches (if first clutches fail early during incubation) are more common (Mikkola, 1983; Cramp & Simmons, 1985; Fry et al., 1988; Bull & Henjum, 1990; Forsman et al., 1997; Olsson, 1997).

Producing second clutches is possible, for instance, if first clutches are laid early in the season (see Kellomäki et al., 1977; Forsman et al., 1997; Marks & Perkins, 1999). The Tawny Owls’ Strix aluco breeding season usually starts about mid March across Europe (Mikkola, 1983; Petty et al., 1994; Saurola, 1995), and failed early clutches have not been reported to lead to second breeding attempts. However, the span of the Tawny Owls’ breeding season in this study area (Bilbao, north Spain) is wider than elsewhere in Europe. On average, eggs are laid on the 24 March ($SD = 52.09, n = 126$; Zuberogoitia, 2002) and the eggs are incubated for 28 - 30 days and chicks leave the nest before attaining complete feather development at 20 - 30 days old. Chicks remain within the parents’ territory for up to three or four months (Zuberogoitia & Torres, 1997). One further peculiarity of the study area is that Tawny Owls may breed throughout the year (Zuberogoitia, 2002).

A Tawny Owl population in Bizkaia was monitored between 1992 and 2003, producing a description of its breeding ecology (Zuberogoitia & Campos, 1998; Zuberogoitia & Martínez, 2000; Zuberogoitia, 2002). During the course of the study, one possible case of a second brood was registered. 1,700 Tawny Owl territories were found, although only 77 nest sites were monitored. One of these nest sites was located in a park, in the town of Mungia. It is surrounded by buildings except for one side that is close to an open field. The nearest Tawny Owl pair was located in a pine plantation 1 km away.

This pair bred in an oak located in a corner of the park before 1997. In January 1997, the oak was cut when the owls were incubating four eggs. Therefore, a nest-box was erected in an oak in the middle of the park. The owls bred in this box on 1998 and 1999. Two small chicks were found in February 1998 and 2 in February 1999. In February 2000, the nest with 2 owlets was found in the hole of a neighbouring oak, twenty meters away from the nest-box. Finally, on 1 February 2001, 2 owlets aged 25 days old were ringed in the same place. Surprisingly, on 17 August 2 different owlets (unringed) aged 45 days old were found moving in the oaks around the nest. Therefore, in 2001 two successful broods occurred consecutively in the same nest. The laying date of the
first clutch would be in the first days of December, while the second would be during the first days of June.

These data suggest that the same pair laid a double clutch in the same year. Since it was impossible to trap the adults it is not known if both were the same in the two cases. It is unlikely that the second brood was laid by a neighbouring pair because Tawny Owls are strongly territorial, especially in the centre of their territories, and the owners would have probably expelled the intruders (see ZuberoGoitia & Martínez, 2000). Territorial behaviour seems to keep out intruders even in high-density urban populations (Galeotti, 1994). So, there are four alternative hypotheses to explain the finding. 1) Another individual replaced the female or the male. If this were true it would also be the second clutch for one of them. 2) Both members could have been replaced. Even considering that the floating population is large, more time is needed to replacing two individuals, establish a territory, mate and lay a clutch (see ZuberoGoitia, 2002). 3) Finally, it could be suggested that it was a case of double brooding resulting from polygynous mating. Polygyny occurs as a response to increased food supply (Korpimäki, 1988; Marti, 1992; Martínez & López, 1999). It can be simultaneous (i.e. the male has two females nesting at the same time) or successive (the nesting attempts occur one after other, and the β nest can occur in the α territory (Korpimäki, 1988; Marti, 1992). However, only one female was found during the survey. 4) The finding corresponded to a pair producing two clutches. The incidence of second nesting attempts can be negatively correlated with the laying date of the first clutch (Morrison, 1998; Marks et al., 1999; Martínez et al., 2003). This Tawny Owl pair had started breeding three months before the average for the population ever since 1997, which would favour double brooding. However, the energetic costs would be high and would affect other physiological mechanism as the moult (see Marks & Perkins, 1999; Martínez et al., 2002). Therefore, according to other authors (Marks & Perkins, 1999; Martínez et al., 2003) double brooding only could be carried out in situations of high prey availability to support all the extra energetic costs. Unfortunately, food abundance could not be measured. The high cost produced by double brooding in a single year would explain why only one such case was recorded in five years in the study area.

RESUMEN.—En este estudio se aportan datos sobre la posible realización de una segunda puesta exitosa por parte del Cárabo Común (Strix aluco) en Bizkaia, norte de España. Durante cinco años se realizó el seguimiento de la misma pareja que nidificaba en un parque urbano. Esta pareja siempre realizaba las puestas en fechas tempranas, encontrándose polluelos pequeños entre enero y febrero. El uno de febrero de 2001 se localizaron y anil- laron dos pollos de 25 días de edad y el 17 de agosto se encontraron otros dos pollos de 45 días en el mismo lugar. Este sería un caso de doble puesta de una misma pareja o, habiéndose dado la sustitución de uno de los ejemplares, sería una doble puesta del otro.
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